

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/887,131	06/22/2001	David W. Daniel	01-107	7730	
75	90 11/27/2002				
LSI LOGIC CORPORATION 1551 MCCARTHY BLVD, MS: D-106 PATENT LAW DEPARTMENT			EXAMINER		
			CHU, CHRIS C		
MILPITAS, CA	95035		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2815	<u> </u>	
			DATE MAIL ED: 11/27/2002	DATE MAII ED: 11/27/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/887,131	l	DANIEL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	Chris C. Ch		2815				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov r sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 September 2002</u> .							
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Th	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 - 6, 8 and 15 - 17</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>15 - 17</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 - 6 and 8</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election re	quirement.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acception to the		•					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)⊠ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>09 September 2002</u> is: a)⊠ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·		(PTO-413) Paper No( Patent Application (PT				

Application/Control Number: 09/887,131 Page 2

Art Unit: 2815

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's amendment filed on September 9, 2002 has been received and entered in the case.

# Specification

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 100 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 100 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Application/Control Number: 09/887,131 Page 3

Art Unit: 2815

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claims  $1 \sim 3$ , 5, 6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Glenn et al.

Regarding claim 1, Glenn et al. discloses in Figs. 3 and 5A an integrated circuit substrate comprising:

- a first surface (Fig. 3) for receiving a series of aligned layers during the creation of the integrated circuit (312), and
- a second surface (Fig. 5A) disposed substantially opposite the first surface, the second surface having at least one alignment mark (462A ~ 462D) for aligning the series of aligned layers one to another during the creation of the integrated circuit.

Application/Control Number: 09/887,131

Art Unit: 2815

Regarding claim 2, Glenn et al. discloses in Figs. 3 and 5A the second surface being divided into a first half and a second half, with one alignment mark in each of the first half and the second half.

Regarding claim 3, Glenn et al. discloses in Figs. 3 and 5A the second surface being divided into quadrants, with one alignment mark in each of the quadrants.

Regarding claim 5, Glenn et al. discloses in Fig. 4 and column 7, lines  $12 \sim 14$  the at least one alignment mark (462) being recessed into the second surface.

Regarding claim 6, Glenn et al. discloses in Fig. 5A the at least one alignment mark comprising geometric shapes in a pattern.

Regarding claim 8, Glenn et al. discloses in Figs.  $3 \sim 5A$  and column 6, lines  $36 \sim 40$  an integrated circuit (312), the improvement comprising a series of aligned layers aligned upon at least a portion of the substrate (310) of claim 1 (see claim 1 rejection).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Glenn et al. in view of Fujimura.

Application/Control Number: 09/887,131

Art Unit: 2815

Regarding claim 4, Glenn et al. discloses the claimed invention except the at least one alignment mark being printed on the second surface. However, Fujimura discloses in column 2, lines  $6 \sim 9$  at least one alignment mark being printed on a second surface. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time when the invention was made to modify Glenn et al. by using the at least one alignment mark to be printed on the second surface as taught by Fujimura. The ordinary artisan would have been motivated to modify Glenn et al. in the manner described above for at least the purpose of providing a coplanar surface on the substrate.

### Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed September 9, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

On page 3, applicant argues "it is explicitly stated that the alignment marks on the second surface of the substrate are used to align the layers one to another." The argument is not persuasive because the phrase "for aligning the series of aligned layers one to another during the creation of the integrated circuit" is intended use language. The intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and Glenn et al. in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from Glenn et al.

Further, applicant agues "the alignment marks are present in the substrate prior to the formation of the aligned layers of the integrated circuit." The argument is not persuasive because a recitation in the above argument is not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the

Application/Control Number: 09/887,131

Art Unit: 2815

claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPO2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

For the above reasons the rejection is matained.

#### Conclusion

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chris C. Chu whose telephone number is (703) 305-6194. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (10:30 - 7:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie C. Lee can be reached on (703) 308-1690. The fax phone numbers for the Art Unit: 2815

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7382 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Chris C. Chu Examiner Art Unit 2815

c.c. November 26, 2002

SUPERMISON PROBLEM EMARRIMER

TRUMBULORY OFFIT IN SUCT